



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,320	12/31/2003	Edward A. Burton	884.C02US1	4675
21186	7590	09/22/2005	EXAMINER	
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0938			TRA, ANH QUAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2816	

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AK

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/750,320	Applicant(s) BURTON ET AL.	
	Examiner Quan Tra	Art Unit 2816	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/15/05.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 21-25 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 and 26-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the amendment filed 08/15/05. The rejections I previous office action are maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1- are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mizuno et al. (USP 6166577).

As to claim 1, Mizuno et al. discloses in figure 12 an apparatus comprising: a substrate (inherent); a target timing circuit (OSC10) formed on the substrate, the target timing circuit having a frequency related to a target frequency; a leakage timing circuit (OSC20) formed on the substrate, the leakage timing circuit having a frequency related to a leakage current (the leakage current of the transistor is determined by voltage that is biased to it substrate or well. Therefore, the frequency of OSC circuit is also dependent on the leakage current of the transistor. See figure 4); and a control unit (CNT10, CNT20) to maintain a substantially constant ratio between the frequency related to the target frequency and the frequency related to the leakage current (*the ratios between the respective frequencies of the OSC10 and OSC20 and the frequency of CLK10 are constant. Therefore, the ratio between OSC10 and OSC20 is constant*).

As to claim 2, figure 12 shows that the substrate comprises a semiconductor.

Art Unit: 2816

As to claim 6, figure 12 shows a self-timed circuit (LOG10) formed on the substrate, the self-timed circuit to operate at a frequency proportional to the target frequency.

As to claim 7, figures 4 and 12 show that the control unit to provide a control signal to the substrate.

As to claim 8, figures 4 and 12 show that the substrate includes a plurality of coupled wells containing transistors (NMOS) of a matching type from the self-timed circuit, the target timing circuit, and the leakage timing circuit.

As to claim 9, figures 4 and 12 show that the transistors are all of the matching type.

As to claim 10, figures 4 and 12 show a well control unit (the BGEN circuit in CNT10 and CNT20) to provide a bias to the plurality of coupled wells.

As to claim 11, figure 4 shows the well comprises a p-type well.

Claim 12 recites similar limitations of claims 1 and 6. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons.

As to claims 13-15, it is seen as an intended use of using circuit LOG10 in a memory, peripheral, or network communication interface.

As to claims 16-18, figure 12 shows that the control unit (CNT10, CNT20) receives signal (S10) having the frequency related to the target circuit frequency and signal (S20) having frequency related to the leakage current.

Claim 26 recites similar limitations of claim 1. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons.

As to claim 27, figure 12 shows a processor (OSC30) formed on the substrate and having an operating frequency and a supply voltage (voltage supply to the substrate of transistors in the

Art Unit: 2816

OSC30), changing the supply voltage to maintain a relationship between the target circuit frequency and the operating frequency.

As to claim 29, figures 4 and 14 shows the step of processing the target circuit frequency and a target ring oscillator frequency to generate a potential control signal to adjust a potential applied to a target ring oscillator, a leakage ring oscillator, and a target circuit that operates at the target circuit frequency.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mizuno et al. (USP 6166577) in view of Klemmer (USP 6337601).

As to claims 3 and 19, Mizuno et al.'s figure 4 shows that the target timing circuit comprises a ring oscillator. Figure 4 fails to shows that a counter is coupled to the ring oscillator. However, Klemmer's figure 3 shows a timing circuit having counter 82 coupled to the ring oscillator 80 for the purpose of increasing output frequency. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to add a counter coupled between the oscillator OSC10 and CNT10 for the purpose of increasing the output frequency of the oscillator OSC10.

As to claim 4, Mizuno et al.'s figures 4 and 12 show that the leakage timing circuit (OSC20) comprises a ring oscillator.

Art Unit: 2816

As to claim 5, Mizuno et al.'s figure 12 shows that the frequency related to the leakage current is substantially proportional to the leakage current.

As to claim 20, Mizuno et al.'s figure 4 shows that the leakage ring oscillator comprises delay line.

5. Claims 28 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mizuno et al. (USP 6166577).

Figure 12 fails to show a communication circuit formed on the substrate. However, it is well known in the art that communication circuit operates with clock signal. Mizuno et al.'s figure 12 has the advantage of reduce power consumption. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use Mizuno et al.'s figure 12 in a communication circuit for the purpose of reducing power consumption.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 21-25 are allowed.

Claims 21-25 are allowable because the prior art fails to teach or suggest the combination of synchronous circuit, target timing circuit, leakage timing circuit, control unit, power source and a potential control unit, wherein the potential control unit receives the signal having the frequency related to the target circuit frequency and the signal having the frequency related to the leakage current and to generate a potential control signal to provide to the power source to adjust the potential.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicants' arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argues that Mizuno et al. does not teach the inherent characteristic that the leakage current of transistor is determined by its substrate bias voltage. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Mazakaki et al., USP 6489833, shows in figures 20 a chart that discloses the relationship between the threshold voltage of the transistor and leakage current, and teaches that the threshold voltage of the transistor is determined by its substrate bias voltage (col. 3, lines 49-55). Teraoka et al., USP 6333571, 's figures 19-21 that show the leakage current is determined by its substrate bias voltage. The above examples demonstrate that it is inherent that substrate bias voltage determines the leakage current of transistor.

Applicants further argues that Mizuno et al fails to teach that the frequency related to the leakage current is substantially proportional to the leakage current. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Such characteristic is inherent in Mizuno et al.'s circuit because the threshold of the transistor is related to the leakage current of the transistor, and the speed of the transistors is related to the threshold of the transistor. See the above examples.

Applicants further argue that "The Office Action fails to provide specific, objective evidence of record for a finding of a description, suggestion, or motivation to combine Mizuno et al. with Klemmer." The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Mizuno et al. shows a phase lock loop (PLL) circuit without having a divider (counter). Klemmer shows a phase lock loop circuit having divider. The frequency at the output of the Klemmer's PLL is equal to the product of the input frequency and the divider value ($F_{out} = f_{in} * N$). One skill in the art would have motivated to add a frequency divider (counter) to Mizuno et al.'s circuit in order to increase the OSC's frequency N time the CLK1's frequency.

Art Unit: 2816

Applicants further request for evidence that support the official notice taken in the rejection of claims 28 and 30. Mizuno et al.'s teaches that the circuit is used in a microcomputer, and USP 6067612, figure 2, and USP 6046937, figure 1, show that that microcomputer is used in communication circuit. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use Mizuno et al. in communication circuit for the purpose of saving power consumption.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quan Tra whose telephone number is 571-272-1755. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 A.M.-5:00 P.M..

Art Unit: 2816

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Callahan can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Quan Tra', is positioned above the printed name and title.

QUAN TRA
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2816

September 15, 2005